



CJIS EXECUTIVE OFFICE
Telephone: (916) 210-5368
Fax: (916) 227-3079
Email: CJISPR@doj.ca.gov

December 23, 2022

Dave Maass
815 Eddy Street
San Francisco, CA 94109
Sent via email: dm@eff.org

Re: Public Records Act Request 2022-01693

Dear Dave Maas:

This letter is in response to your public records request received in the Attorney General's Office on August 5, 2022, in which you sought records pursuant to the Public Records Act as set forth in Government Code section 6250 et seq.

Specifically, you requested:

- "1) All CLETS misuse reports covering 2020, including reports disclosing no misuse cases;*
- 2) All spreadsheets aggregating CLETS misuse reports covering 2020, including spreadsheets covering reports of no misuse;*
- 3) List of agencies that failed to provide a CLETS misuse report for 2020;*
- 4) All CLETS misuse reports covering 2021, including reports disclosing no misuse cases;*
- 5) All spreadsheets aggregating CLETS misuse reports covering 2021, including spreadsheets covering reports of no misuse; and*
- 6) List of agencies that failed to provide a CLETS misuse report for 2021;*
- 7) List of agencies added to and removed from CLETS in 2020-2021; and*
- 8) The current CLETS PPP."*

The California Department of Justice (Department) issued a response for the records you were seeking on September 22, 2022, whereby we disclosed some responsive records and we informed you that we were continuing to review additional records for anticipated disclosure. On September 23, 2022, the Department received your response seeking clarification on the records that were provided. Specifically, you requested:

- 1. "I wanted to know if your department would like to give any context to some of the information here."*

For example, we see that a number of federal agencies have been removed from CLETS, including several CBP offices. But we also see several ICE HSI agencies have been added. We'd like to know how this related to the California Values Act - does this mean the ICE agencies have agreed not to use it for immigration enforcement, while CBP has not?

2. *Also, we see that Space Force recorded the highest number of misuse cases, but the result was only training. If there's any further context for that, I'd appreciate it."*

The Department issued a response to your request for clarification on October 14, 2022, and disclosed additional records on October 14, 2022, and November 9, 2022. We further informed you that we were continuing to review additional records for anticipated disclosure. The Department is now disclosing the final installment of responsive records. We have redacted certain private identifying or personal information as permitted or required by law based on considerations of personal privacy. (See, e.g., Pen. Code, § 832.7, subd. (b)(5)(A); Gov. Code, §§ 6254, subds. (c) and (k), incorporating Cal. Const., art. I, §1; Gov. Code, § 6255.) This installment includes some additional attachments to misuse reports and concludes our response to your request.

Sincerely,

Sheri Loux

For DANIELLE BROUSSEAU
Staff Services Manager I
California Justice Information Services Division

For ROB BONTA
Attorney General